

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/598,060	06/20/2000	Bernhard Kraus	1826-015	5495	
9629 7	590 11/25/2003	EXAMINER			
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			GUADALUPE, YARITZA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
W.151111 (010	.,		2859		
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/200	3 *	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_	MC		
	`~	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
	_	09/598,	060	KRAUS ET AL.			
,	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Yaritza	Guadalupe	2859			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commor Reply	nunication appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ldress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provis SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than third period for reply is specified above, the maximum tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three monted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no o ommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the st m statutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the a ths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a r tatutory minimum of thir will expire SIX (6) MON pplication to become Ab	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time ITHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 12 September	<u>2003</u> .				
· · · · ·	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 13-20</u> is/are per	nding in the application	ı.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) i						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9,13-15 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) 5 and 16 is/are objected						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to res	striction and/or election	requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
-	The specification is objected to by		_				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	•		·			
	Applicant may not request that any o						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) include	-	_				
•	The oath or declaration is objecte	d to by the Examiner. I	Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	10-152.		
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a classification.  All b) Some * c) None of the prior of the prior copies of the prior copies of the prior copies of the prior copies.	of: rity documents have be	een received.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation application from the International Conference of the attached detailed Office are applicable.	ational Bureau (PCT R ction for a list of the ce	ule 17.2(a)). rtified copies not	received.	•		
s 3	Acknowledgment is made of a claiming a specific reference was included CFR 1.78.	uded in the first senten	ce of the specific	ation or in an Application			
14) 🔲 🗸	<ul> <li>The translation of the foreign Acknowledgment is made of a claim</li> <li>eference was included in the first series</li> </ul>	m for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121 since			
Attachmer	ıt(s)						
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144		5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/598,060

Art Unit: 2859

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

In response to Amendment filed September 12, 2003

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6 7, 9, 14, 17 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Pompei (US 6,292,685).

Pompei discloses a radiation thermometer comprising an infrared radiation detector (20) and a probe tip (64) including a radiation inlet opening (63) enabling infrared radiation to travel from a measurement site to the sensor, wherein it additionally includes a probe head / cover (7). Pompei also discloses an opening / aperture (66) for infrared radiation, which is closed by a window (63) transparent to infrared radiation. Pompei also discloses a switch (28) actuatable when the probe cover is installed and that the temperature indication from the measurements is influenced by the actuation of the switch (See Column 5, lines 41 – 55). Pompei further discloses the probe head / cover (7) having a shape selected so that the

Art Unit: 2859

8/

measurement site is shielded from infrared radiation emanating from the environment ( See Figures 1 and 2A ).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pompei (US 6,292,685) [Hereinafter Pompei '685] in view of Pompei (US 6,047,205) [Hereinafter Pompei '205].

Pompei ('685) discloses a radiation thermometer as stated in paragraph 2 above.

Pompei ('685) does not disclose the pivotal probe tip or probe head as stated in claims 3 and 13.

Regarding claims 3 and 13: Pompei ('205) discloses a radiation detector probe having a probe tip being pivotal in at least one spatial plane ( See Figure 2 and Column 5, lines 14 - 17 ). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/598,060

Àrt Unit: 2859

9

invention was made to provide a pivotal probe tip as taught by Pompei ('205) in the radiation thermometer disclosed by Pompei ('685) in order to allow flexibility to the probe tip that will prevent from damages to the structure and significantly reduce discomfort while using the thermometer on a patient.

5. Claims 8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Pompei ( US 6,292,685 ) in view of Pompei et al. ( US 5,893,833 ).

Pompei ('685) discloses a radiation thermometer as stated in paragraph 2 above.

Pompei ('685) does not disclose the funnel-shaped configuration as stated in claims 8 and 19.

With respect to claims 8 and 19: Pompei et al. ('833) discloses a probe tip / probe head comprising a funnel – shaped configuration. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the shape of the probe head disclosed by Pompei ('685) with a funnel – shaped head as taught by Pompei et al. ('833) in order to enhance the measurements by increasing the area of heat transfer and since the use of a funnel – shape on the probe head is only considered to be an obvious modification of the shape or configuration of the probe shape disclosed by Pompei ('685) as the courts have held that a change in shape or configuration, without any criticality, is within the level of skill in the art as the particular shape claimed by Applicant is nothing more than one of numerous shapes that a

· Àrt Unit: 2859

. 1

person having ordinary skill in the art will find obvious to provide using routine experimentation based on its suitability for the intended use of the invention. See <u>In re Dailey</u>, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976) and since Pompei ('685) teaches the need of doing so.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see remarks in page 6, filed September 12, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 – 9 and 13 - 20 under 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Pompei '685 and Pompei '205.

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yaritza Guadalupe whose telephone number is (703)305 -5676. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/598,060

· Art Unit: 2859

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7722 for After Final communications.

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

or of

Yaritza Guadalupe Patent Examiner Art Unit 2859 November 20, 2003 DIEGO F.F. GUTIERREZ SUPERVISOR PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800